

2014, March 21

Mr. Richard Boren

████████████████████  
Scottsdale, AZ ██████████

Dear Mr. Boren:

This letter is in response to your 2014, February 4 letter. First let me say that my delay in replying was partly in deciding how much of this response should attempt to correct the overwhelming lack of information upon which your letter was based. In fact, you yourself identified the nature of the problem on page 6 of your letter, wherein you stated, “Unless there is something of which I am completely unaware...” Virtually every aspect of your letter reveals that there is a great deal of which you are completely unaware, including your misinformed assumptions about disclosure of the works of Andrew J. Galambos (AJG).

My delay in replying also was due in part to the difficulty in responding to someone who understands so little of the rational and moral principles taught in V-50 and V-201, or who so obviously rejects those principles outright. For example, we have seen the “released with no restrictions” mantra before. It is common to all those who failed to understand what AJG taught about not disclosing innovative P<sub>1</sub> into the communist warehouse of ideas. He specified quite explicitly in multiple sessions of V-201 that contractual disclosure to qualified individuals is the solution to the kind of promiscuous, non-contractual, non-proprietary disclosure that you advocate—“with no restrictions”—the kind that engendered the disclosure barrier in the first place. He repeatedly stated that the purpose of V-201 was to eliminate the disclosure barrier, which he identified as the principal problem of all history.

We also are aware of the delusion that AJG never intended his theory to apply to his own work. We’re sorry to learn that you believe in that myth. Nevertheless, the trustees of his natural estate are both morally and legally obligated to handle his property in the manner instructed by his theory. It has nothing to do with your interpretation of the book contract. There is not now nor has there ever been any “idea” within the Trust to unilaterally change the terms of any contract. Your assumptions that your interpretation of the book contract is the only possible one—and that those who are responsible for fulfilling it have no other obligations specifying *how* they must fulfill it—are simply false.

In regard to ideological penetration by AJG’s ideas, you evidently are unaware that FEI’s current marketing method already has produced high-quality graduates who are applying the concepts to their lives and their interactions with others. They are doing so without stealing the ideas or plastering them all over the Internet, as many past “graduates” have chosen to do.

Your assertion that “a single individual” educated us as to the rational and moral way we should handle AJG’s property is absolutely correct, but you will be surprised to learn that the individual in question is AJG himself. After TUSPCO mistakenly published *SIAA, Volume 1*, numerous other individuals (not just one) suggested that we examine our assumptions in the light of what AJG *actually* says in V-201, not what the counterfeit FEI catechism says about “released with no restrictions”. So we did. We found that we were deeply mistaken. So are those who, contrary to the theory, continue to demand promiscuous disclosure. They have no right to demand anything.

Regarding the matter of Jay Snelson, no one has murdered anyone. The truth is that Mr. Snelson chose to commit primary suicide. FEI is under no moral obligation to promote or provide any accreditation whatsoever to someone whose  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  accounts are as far in the negative as Mr. Snelson's are. He had a well-established history of contractual violations, starting long before Professor Galambos finally terminated him for such violations, and continuing up through his recent primary theft and mass disclosure of V-50. What's more, it makes no sense to provide accreditation to someone who has in recent years:

- Publicly denounced the standard of absolute rightness
- Asserted that the concept of primary property is false
- Falsely claimed credit as the "co-creator" of volitional science
- Claimed that volitional science is not a science (...but he's the "co-creator"; brilliant)
- Paid no royalties for his monetized, unauthorized use of V-50
- Involved others (whether openly or through deception) in his non-proprietary handling of property he does not own


Furthermore, your accusation of "primary murder" in FEI's deletion of references to an individual who rejected the concept of primary property pegs the irony meter. Nevertheless, FEI will gladly restore references to Mr. Snelson in FEI courses after his natural estate squares his accounts via suitable restitution. In the meantime, FEI is simply following the policy established by AJG long ago—a policy that has been confirmed and was consistently implemented by Jerry Miller (FEI's technical advisor) for over 15 years under AJG's direct instruction. That policy requires the deletion from FEI courses of any favorable references to individuals who have become known plunderers.

Regarding the matter of the other V-50DD content you mentioned, had you listened more carefully or at least asked some questions rather than jump to criticism, you would understand why the content is the way it is. No one did anything "blindly", as you allege. You are mistaken in assuming FEI does not have competent staff. The FEI staff has had far more experience working directly with AJG in various capacities than you have had as a customer. Nevertheless, pursuant to your letter, your complaints have been reviewed and analyzed by FEI's production staff and by the trustees, who already were fully aware of the imperfections in AJG's semantic structure. We regret that you were unable to accommodate those imperfections in your playing of Session 1, and that they provoked such bitter dissatisfaction.

Rather than continue a customer relationship that has engendered so much discontent, FEI is discontinuing your enrollment in V-50DD and refunding your V-50DD tuition in full. A check for \$200.00 is attached. The matter is closed; further communication would not be productive.

Sincerely,

**THE ANDREW J. GALAMBOS & SUZANNE J. GALAMBOS NATURAL ESTATES TRUST**

  
Wayne Joyner

Trustee

WJ:lqs